

APPLICANT(S): LINIAL, Michal et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/601,278  
FILED: February 22, 2001  
Page 3

### AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please add or amend the claims to read as follows, and cancel without prejudice or disclaimer to resubmission in a divisional or continuation application claims indicated as cancelled:

1. (Canceled)

2. (Currently amended) An automatic method of classifying molecules, wherein the molecules are nucleic acids or amino acids. having similar ~~biological function~~ sequence comprising the steps of:

a) automatically creating a hierarchical organization of the molecules in a database comprising the steps of:

i calculating pairwise similarities between said molecules in said database by combining at least one standard measure of similarity, resulting in a first set of expectation values of similarity;

ii analyzing said first set of expectation values of similarity so as to obtain a second set of expectation values of similarity, wherein said molecules of the second set have a high degree of similarity;

iii merging said resulting molecules of step ii) so as to form clusters, wherein only molecules with an expectation value below a first restricted threshold are merged;

iv identifying groups of clusters that are neighbors in the protein space graph using local consideration resulting in related clusters;

v determining the relationship between related ~~dusters~~ clusters that are neighbors in the protein space graph in said groups;

vi analyzing said groups of the related clusters of step v), based on the threshold thereby creating a hierarchical organization of said molecules;

APPLICANT(S): LINIAL, Michal et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/601,278  
FILED: February 22, 2001  
Page 4

b) automatically determining the position of a selected molecule based on the hierarchical organization of step (a) of a selected molecule in said database by a method based on the hierarchical organization of step a, comprising the steps of:

i identifying pairwise similarities between said selected molecule to the molecules in said database by combining at least one standard measure of similarity, resulting in a third set of expectation values of similarity;

ii identifying geometric averaging of said selected molecule to each of said resulting clusters in said hierarchy, resulting in a ~~forth~~ fourth set of expectation values of similarity;

iii identifying related clusters from said hierarchy of step a, having a geometric averaging with said selected molecule below a second threshold  $[[.]]$  : thereby determining the position of a selected molecule in the position of the hierarchical organization of step a ~~classifying molecules having similar biologic function.~~

3. (Currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein each related cluster resulting from step iv is further analyzed, wherein if said geometric averaging of said related cluster to a second related cluster is below said first threshold a connection is established between two clusters, said connection is merging the two clusters.
4. (Currently amended) The method of ~~claim~~ claim 3, wherein said related cluster resulting from step iv is analyzed by calculating the geometric averaging between molecules in one cluster to molecules in the other cluster.
5. (Currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the method of determining the relationship between said related clusters in step v further comprises the steps of applying a global test on said ~~connected~~ related clusters for identifying nuclei of strong relationships within said groups of clusters,

wherein each cluster is checked against its nearest cluster by using said geometric averaging, wherein if said geometric averaging is below said first threshold then the two clusters are merged.

6. (Currently amended) The method of claim 2, further comprises repeating steps iv, v while each time raising the threshold of steps iv and v in a step wise manner until a third threshold is achieved.
7. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 2, wherein said groups containing the clusters of every two molecules from different clusters ~~with~~ that have expectation value below the threshold.
8. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 2, wherein the method further comprises repeating steps iv and v and applying three standard measures of similarity.
9. (Original) The method according to claim 8, wherein said standard measures of similarity are Smith Waterman (SW), FASTA, and BLAST.
10. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 9, further comprising the step of applying a numerical normalization to the expectation value of the SW, the FASTA and the BLAST.
11. (Original) The method according to claim 10, wherein the method step a) ii) is analyzed using thresholds 0.1, 0.1 and  $10^{-3}$  for SW, FASTA and BLAST respectively wherein a value of pairwise similarities between two protein sequences is maintained if either SW or FASTA yield an expectation value  $\leq 0.1$  or BLASTS expectation value is  $\leq 10^{-3}$ .
12. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 11, further comprising filtering the results of said pairwise similarities of BLAST thereby excluding low complexity segments, using the SEG program; or setting a more stringent threshold for BLAST at  $10^{-6}$  if filtering decreased the number of related sequences by half.

APPLICANT(S): LINIAL, Michal et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/601,278  
FILED: February 22, 2001  
Page 6

13. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 12, further comprising using said SEG program and maintaining a value of an expectation value of similarity between two protein when all three methods yield an expectation value  $\leq 1$ .
14. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 2, wherein the molecules are nucleic acids.
15. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 2, wherein the nucleic acids are DNA or RNA.
16. (Currently amended) The method of claim [[1]] 2, wherein the molecules are ~~pefynucleotides~~ polynucleotide.
17. (Previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the molecules are proteins.
18. (Previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the molecules are peptides.
19. (Cancelled)
20. (Cancelled)
21. (Currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the molecules encode or comprise immunoglobulins.

APPLICANT(S): LINIAL, Michal et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/601,278  
FILED: February 22, 2001  
Page 7

22. (Cancelled)

23. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 2, wherein said database is the Swissprot database.

24. (Canceled)

25. (Canceled)

26. (Canceled)

27. (Currently amended) A system for identifying ~~biological families of~~ molecules having similar ~~biologic function~~ sequence, said system comprising :

(I) a storage device containing a first database identifying molecule sequences and their corresponding hierarchy;

(II) a processor connected to said storage device and in communication with a second database containing molecule sequences;

(III) an input device connected to said processor for inputting a sequence of a selected molecule to be analyzed, wherein said processor is programmed for automatically classifying molecules having similar hierarchical position. ~~biologic function~~, said classifying comprising the steps of:

a) automatically creating a hierarchical organization of the molecules in a database comprising the steps of:

i calculating pairwise similarities between said molecules in said database by combining at least one standard measure of similarity, resulting in a first set of expectation values of similarity;

APPLICANT(S): LINIAL, Michal et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/601,278  
FILED: February 22, 2001  
Page 8

ii analyzing said first set of expectation values of similarity so as to obtain a second set of expectation values of similarity, wherein said molecules of the second set have a high degree of similarity;

iii merging said resulting molecules of step ii) so as to form clusters, wherein only molecules with an expectation value below a first restricted threshold are merged;

iv identifying groups of clusters that are neighbors in the protein space graph using local consideration resulting in related clusters;

v determining the relationship between related ~~dusters~~ clusters that are neighbors in the protein space graph in said groups;

vi analyzing said groups of the related clusters of step v), based on the threshold thereby creating a hierarchical organization of said molecules;

b) automatically determining the position of a selected molecule based on the hierarchical organization of step (a) ~~of a selected molecule in said database by a method based on the hierarchical organization of step a~~, comprising the steps of:

i identifying pairwise similarities between said selected molecule to the molecules in said database by combining at least one standard measure of similarity, resulting in a third set of expectation values of similarity;

ii identifying geometric averaging of said selected molecule to each of said resulting clusters in said hierarchy, resulting in a ~~forth~~ fourth set of expectation values of similarity;

iii identifying related clusters from said hierarchy of step a, having a geometric averaging with said selected molecule below a second threshold  $[[.]]$  : thereby determining the position of a selected molecule in the position of the hierarchical organization of step a ~~classifying molecules having similar biologic function.~~

APPLICANT(S): LINIAL, Michal et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/601,278  
FILED: February 22, 2001  
Page 9

28. (Cancelled)

29. (New) The method of claim 2, further comprises the step of classifying the molecules as having similar function by attributing said function on the position in the hierarchical organization.